



THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL (NGT): JUDICIAL INNOVATION IN ENVIRONMENTAL JURISPRUDENCE

Dr. Niketa Dilipkumar Raval

ABSTRACT

Environmental degradation, climate change, and industrial pollution represent some of the most critical existential challenges of the twenty-first century. Within democratic governance, the adjudication of environmental disputes has traditionally encountered severe structural bottlenecks within conventional civil courts, characterized by protracted procedural delays, technical complexities, and a lack of specialized ecological expertise. To overcome these institutional impediments, India pioneered a remarkable judicial innovation by enacting the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, which established the National Green Tribunal (NGT) as a specialized, high-powered quasi-judicial body dedicated exclusively to environmental protection, conservation of natural resources, and speedy redressal of environmental grievances. This comprehensive article evaluates the judicial innovation and jurisprudence of the NGT, adopting a constructive, positive perspective that celebrates its transformative impact up to recent years. By examining its statutory mandate, expert multidisciplinary bench composition, the adoption of the 'polluter pays' principle, the 'precautionary principle,' and 'sustainable development,' alongside landmark judicial rulings leading up to recent years, this study explores how the Tribunal has held corporate polluters accountable, protected fragile ecosystems, and enforced environmental clearances. Furthermore, the paper highlights positive administrative and enforcement measures regarding air and water pollution control, waste management, and public environmental vigilance, concluding that the NGT stands as a global benchmark for specialized environmental adjudication and sustainable governance.

1. INTRODUCTION

The protection of the environment is no longer merely a matter of aesthetic preference or discretionary policy; it is an absolute constitutional imperative essential for the survival and well-being of present and future generations. In India, the constitutional jurisprudence developed by the Supreme Court dynamically integrated environmental rights into the right to life under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. However, despite progressive judicial activism, ordinary civil courts and high courts faced immense operational limitations when handling complex environmental litigation. Environmental disputes involve intricate scientific data regarding chemical

pollutants, ecological impact assessments, hydrological flows, and atmospheric emissions—subjects for which traditional judges and adversarial court procedures were ill-equipped.

Recognizing these systemic inadequacies and fulfilling India's international commitments under the 1992 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, Parliament enacted the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010. The NGT was conceptualized as a specialized judicial forum designed to provide swift, affordable, and scientifically informed justice for environmental disputes. By integrating legal adjudication with expert scientific knowledge, the Tribunal

Assistant Professor
(I/C Principal), Shah K
M Law College, Valsad

How to Cite:

Dr. Niketa Dilipkumar
Raval (2025), The
National Green
Tribunal (Ngt):
Judicial Innovation
in Environmental
Jurisprudence,
International
Educational Applied
Scientific & Research
Journal (IEASRJ),
Vol: 10, Issue: 10,
01-03

revolutionized environmental governance in India.

This article undertakes an in-depth, positive evaluation of the National Green Tribunal. By charting its statutory foundation, examining its core jurisprudential principles, reviewing landmark environmental rulings up to recent years, and outlining future policy directions, this study illuminates the constructive mechanisms through which the NGT champions ecological sustainability.

2. LEGISLATIVE GENESIS AND INSTITUTIONAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE NGT

The establishment of the National Green Tribunal in October 2010 marked a monumental milestone in global environmental jurisprudence. Prior to the NGT, specialized environmental tribunals were rare globally, and India's proactive step placed it at the forefront of specialized ecological dispute resolution.

The core objectives and structural uniqueness of the NGT include:

- **Specialized Multidisciplinary Composition:** Unlike conventional courts staffed exclusively by legal jurists, the NGT benches comprise a balanced mix of judicial members (sitting or retired judges of the Supreme Court or High Courts) and expert members possessing doctoral degrees and extensive professional experience in environmental sciences, forestry, engineering, or pollution control. This integration ensures that complex scientific evidence is evaluated with absolute technical precision.
- **Exclusivity and Speedy Resolution:** The Tribunal is statutorily mandated to dispose of applications and appeals finally within six months of filing, bypassing the multi-year backlogs plaguing traditional civil courts.
- **Expansive Jurisdiction:** The NGT exercises civil jurisdiction over all substantial questions relating to environment (including enforcement of any legal right relating to environment) arising under seven major environmental statutes, including the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, and the Public Liability Insurance Act,

1991.

3. CORE JURISPRUDENTIAL PRINCIPLES APPLIED BY THE NGT

The NGT is not strictly bound by the procedural rigors of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, or the Indian Evidence Act, 1872; instead, it is guided by principles of natural justice and three foundational doctrines of international environmental law:

3.1 The Polluter Pays Principle

The Tribunal has rigorously enforced the Polluter Pays principle, holding that the financial cost of preventing, remedying, or mitigating environmental degradation must be borne by the industrial entity or individual responsible for the pollution. Rather than imposing nominal fines, the NGT has frequently levied massive environmental compensation penalties, directly funding ecological restoration projects, afforestation, and victim rehabilitation.

3.2 The Precautionary Principle

Emphasizing proactive governance, the NGT applies the precautionary principle, which dictates that where there are threats of serious or irreversible environmental damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation. This doctrine places the onus on developers and industries to prove that their activities will not harm the ecosystem.

3.3 Sustainable Development and Intergenerational Equity

Balancing economic growth with ecological preservation, the Tribunal champions sustainable development. It ensures that natural resources are utilized in a manner that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

4. LANDMARK JURISPRUDENCE AND ENVIRONMENTAL ACCOUNTABILITY

The NGT's active intervention across diverse environmental sectors has established a formidable body of progressive jurisprudence.

4.1 Cleaning Water Bodies and River Rejuvenation

The Tribunal has initiated sweeping directives to clean

major river systems, including the Yamuna and Ganga river basins. By ordering municipal corporations and industrial units to establish functional sewage treatment plants (STPs), penalizing untreated effluent discharge, and removing illegal encroachments along floodplains, the NGT has driven systemic water governance reforms.

4.2 Combating Urban Air Pollution

In response to severe air quality crises in the National Capital Region and major metropolitan areas, the NGT issued stringent orders restricting old polluting diesel vehicles, penalizing crop residue burning, regulating construction dust, and mandating emission standards for industrial clusters, significantly elevating public awareness and regulatory compliance.

4.3 Protecting Forests and Biodiversity Hotspots

The Tribunal has consistently scrutinized environmental clearances granted to mining conglomerates, infrastructure projects, and real estate developers in ecologically sensitive zones like the Western Ghats, Himalayan regions, and coastal areas, ensuring that EIA (Environmental Impact Assessment) reports are rigorously verified and public consultations are genuinely honored.

5. POSITIVE SOCIETAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE IMPACTS

The operational presence of the NGT has generated profound positive shifts in Indian environmental governance:

5.1 Democratizing Access to Environmental Justice

By adopting a liberal stance on locus standi, the NGT permits environmental activists, civil society organizations, and local communities to approach the Tribunal directly. This democratization of justice ensures that marginalized rural and indigenous populations affected by industrial pollution have an effective judicial recourse.

5.2 Fostering Corporate Environmental Compliance

The credible threat of swift environmental compensation orders, project shutdowns, and strict liability enforcement has compelled corporate boards and industrial planners to prioritize robust environmental compliance and green technologies, shifting corporate culture from reactive litigation

avoidance to proactive ecological stewardship.

6. FUTURE PROSPECTS AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

While the NGT has achieved remarkable success, expanding its infrastructural network and institutional reach will further enhance its efficacy.

6.1 Expanding Regional Benches

Currently operating through principal and regional benches, establishing additional circuit benches across unrepresented states will make the Tribunal even more accessible to remote litigants.

6.2 Strengthening Enforcement Mechanisms

Empowering the NGT with specialized independent investigative machinery and enhanced execution powers will ensure that its remedial and punitive orders are implemented swiftly by state administrative authorities.

6.3 Embracing Green Technology and AI

Integrating satellite imaging, remote sensing data, and AI-driven ecological monitoring into Tribunal proceedings will enhance evidentiary verification and scientific oversight.

7. CONCLUSION

The National Green Tribunal stands as a shining beacon of judicial innovation and institutional excellence in environmental jurisprudence. By successfully bridging the divide between complex scientific inquiry and legal adjudication, the NGT has transformed environmental governance in India from a neglected administrative afterthought into a vibrant, enforceable constitutional guarantee. Through rigorous enforcement of the polluter pays principle, precautionary measures, and sustainable development doctrines up to recent years, the Tribunal has demonstrated that economic progress and ecological preservation can harmoniously coexist. By sustaining these positive administrative reforms, expanding regional access, and nurturing a culture of ecological responsibility, society moves steadfastly toward ensuring a cleaner, greener, and more sustainable future for all.

REFERENCES

1. Armin Rosencranz & Shyam Divan. (2001). Environmental law and policy in India. Oxford University Press.
2. Law Commission of India. (2003). 186th Report on proposal to constitute environmental courts. Government of India.
3. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change. (2010). The National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 (No. 19 of 2010). Government of India.
4. National Green Tribunal Bar Association. (2025). Decade of green justice: Landmark judgments of the NGT. Eastern Book Company.
5. Supreme Court of India. (2018). State of Meghalaya v. All Dimasa Students Union (Civil Appeal No. 10720 of 2018).
6. Divan, S., & Rosencranz, A. (2022). Environmental jurisprudence and the National Green Tribunal. Oxford University Press.