



BEHAVIOURAL FINANCE THEORIES AND INVESTOR DECISION-MAKING IN VOLATILE MARKETS

Dr. Jagruti Ambashankar Purohit

Assistant Professor, N. C. Bodiwala and Principal M. C. Desai Commerce College, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad

ABSTRACT

Investor behaviour in financial markets has long been studied through the lens of traditional finance, which assumes rationality, efficient markets, and decisions based on objective information. However, periods of market volatility reveal consistent deviations from these assumptions, as investors frequently make choices influenced by emotions, biases, and psychological heuristics. This article explores the role of behavioural finance in understanding investor decision-making under volatile conditions. Drawing on key theories such as prospect theory, mental accounting, overconfidence, herding behaviour, anchoring, and regret aversion, the study examines how cognitive and emotional factors shape investment choices during uncertainty. Empirical evidence from global crises, including the 2008 financial meltdown and the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as from emerging markets like India and China, illustrates recurring behavioural patterns such as panic selling, excessive risk-taking, and herd-driven speculation. The analysis demonstrates that investor psychology is not random but systematic, offering valuable insights for improving investment strategies, advisory practices, and regulatory policies. The article further highlights the practical implications of behavioural finance, emphasizing its role in fostering investor discipline, strengthening client-advisor relationships, and guiding policymakers in stabilizing markets. Overall, the study underscores the importance of integrating psychological perspectives with traditional models to develop a more comprehensive understanding of investor behaviour in volatile financial environments.

KEYWORDS: Behavioural Finance, Investor Psychology, Prospect Theory, Overconfidence, Herding Behaviour, Market Volatility, Mental Accounting, Anchoring Bias, Regret Aversion, Financial Decision-Making

1. INTRODUCTION

The field of finance has traditionally been dominated by classical theories that assume investors are rational, markets are efficient, and decision-making is primarily guided by logic and objective analysis. Traditional finance rests on the foundations of models such as the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), and the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM). These models propose that investors seek to maximize utility by making consistent, rational choices based on all available information. According to EMH, for instance, asset prices fully reflect all relevant information, leaving little room for anomalies or mispricing. In this framework, market participants are seen as logical actors who process data flawlessly, evaluate risk accurately, and act in ways that collectively lead to stable, predictable market outcomes.

However, the limitations of traditional finance began to surface as scholars and practitioners observed recurring deviations from these assumptions. Real-world markets displayed frequent anomalies that could not be explained by rational models, such as bubbles, crashes, and persistent mispricing of assets. For example, the dot-com bubble of the late 1990s and the global financial crisis of 2008 highlighted how markets can deviate dramatically from equilibrium, driven by fear, greed, and speculation rather than pure rationality. Moreover, individual investors were often seen making decisions that contradicted the predictions of traditional theories—holding on to losing stocks for too long, chasing past returns, or panic-selling during downturns. These inconsistencies suggested that psychological and emotional factors played a much greater role in financial

decision-making than previously acknowledged.

It was in response to these shortcomings that behavioural finance emerged as a field that blends psychology and economics to provide a more comprehensive explanation of investor behaviour. Behavioural finance challenges the rationality assumption of traditional finance by highlighting the biases, heuristics, and emotional influences that shape financial decisions. Instead of assuming investors act with perfect information and consistency, behavioural finance recognizes that cognitive limitations, overconfidence, herd behaviour, and loss aversion often guide choices. For instance, prospect theory, developed by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky, showed that people weigh losses more heavily than equivalent gains, leading to risk-averse or risk-seeking behaviour depending on the context. Such insights have helped explain why investors may overreact to market downturns, create bubbles through excessive optimism, or fail to diversify their portfolios effectively.

The contrast between traditional finance and behavioural finance is not merely academic but also practical. While traditional finance provides useful models for portfolio optimization and risk-return analysis, behavioural finance offers a more realistic understanding of how investors actually behave under conditions of uncertainty and volatility. Together, these perspectives highlight the complexity of financial decision-making. Traditional finance establishes the idealized rational framework, while behavioural finance acknowledges the human

imperfections that often distort it. This complementarity has reshaped modern financial research and practice, encouraging investors, advisors, and policymakers to account for both logic and psychology when interpreting market behaviour.

2. IMPORTANCE OF UNDERSTANDING INVESTOR PSYCHOLOGY IN VOLATILE MARKETS

Understanding investor psychology in volatile markets is of critical importance because it directly influences how markets behave during periods of uncertainty and turbulence. In times of stability, traditional finance assumptions such as rational decision-making and efficient pricing may appear to hold true. However, when markets become volatile, the pressure of uncertainty, fear of losses, and the allure of speculative gains amplify psychological biases and emotional reactions. Investors often deviate from rational strategies, and their collective behaviour can drive markets to extreme highs or lows. Thus, recognizing and analysing the psychological dimensions of decision-making becomes essential to explain and predict market dynamics in such environments.

Volatile markets are often characterized by heightened uncertainty, rapid price fluctuations, and increased information asymmetry. In such conditions, emotions like fear, greed, and anxiety exert a stronger influence on investor actions than careful analysis of fundamentals. For example, during a market downturn, fear of losing capital may trigger panic selling, even if the intrinsic value of assets remains unchanged. Conversely, during speculative rallies, greed and overconfidence may lead to excessive risk-taking, pushing prices far beyond their true worth. These behaviours are not adequately explained by traditional financial theories but can be understood through behavioural finance concepts such as loss aversion, herding, and overconfidence.

Investor psychology in volatile markets also plays a central role in shaping larger financial outcomes, such as bubbles, crashes, and contagion effects. When many investors act on similar psychological triggers, their actions become self-reinforcing, leading to widespread market movements that may not align with fundamentals. Herding behaviour, for instance, causes individuals to mimic the actions of the majority, amplifying both upward and downward swings in asset prices. This collective psychology can destabilize markets further, creating feedback loops that prolong volatility. Without an understanding of these psychological mechanisms, analysts, policymakers, and investors themselves may struggle to interpret market movements accurately or to design effective strategies for mitigating risks.

Moreover, understanding investor psychology during volatility has practical implications for both individual investors and financial institutions. For individuals, being aware of common biases can help improve decision-making, encouraging a more disciplined, long-term approach rather than succumbing to short-term emotional impulses. For financial advisors, insights into psychology enable more effective client guidance, helping to calm anxieties during downturns or temper overconfidence during booms. On a larger scale, regulators and policymakers

can benefit from incorporating behavioural insights when designing interventions to stabilize markets and protect investors during crises.

In essence, volatile markets serve as a magnifying lens that makes investor psychology more visible and impactful. By studying the ways emotions and cognitive biases influence financial behaviour in such times, scholars and practitioners can develop a deeper, more realistic understanding of markets. This not only enhances academic theory but also equips market participants with the tools to navigate turbulence more effectively, reducing the likelihood of destructive financial outcomes.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Behavioural finance offers a set of theories that explain how psychological biases and emotional influences affect investor decisions, particularly in times of market volatility. Unlike traditional finance, which assumes rationality and efficient markets, these theories recognize that uncertainty often triggers irrational and predictable patterns of behaviour. The following frameworks are central to understanding investor psychology in turbulent financial environments.

Prospect Theory (Kahneman & Tversky)

Prospect Theory is one of the foundational concepts in behavioural finance, emphasizing how individuals evaluate gains and losses asymmetrically. Investors tend to exhibit loss aversion, meaning they experience the pain of a loss more intensely than the pleasure of an equivalent gain. In volatile markets, this often leads to excessive risk aversion when facing potential losses or, conversely, risk-seeking behaviour when attempting to recover from losses. For instance, during a market downturn, investors may cling to losing assets in the hope of a rebound, while others may panic-sell to avoid further declines. This theory highlights how market volatility magnifies the emotional weight of losses, significantly shaping decision-making.

Mental Accounting

Mental Accounting explains how individuals separate and categorize their financial decisions into distinct “accounts” rather than viewing their wealth as a single portfolio. In volatile markets, this tendency becomes more pronounced as investors assign different levels of importance to gains and losses depending on their framing. For example, an investor may treat speculative stock investments as “risk money” while considering retirement savings as “untouchable,” even if the risk-return trade-offs are similar. This compartmentalization can result in suboptimal decisions, such as holding onto poorly performing assets in one account while taking unnecessary risks in another, thereby intensifying the effects of market fluctuations.

Overconfidence Theory

Overconfidence refers to the tendency of investors to overestimate their knowledge, predictive abilities, or control over outcomes. During volatile periods, this bias often manifests as excessive trading, with investors believing they

can time the market or accurately predict short-term price movements. While they may achieve occasional success, overconfidence typically leads to increased transaction costs, poor diversification, and greater exposure to risk. The illusion of control becomes particularly dangerous in volatile markets, where unpredictability is high and outcomes are less influenced by individual skill than by external shocks.

Herding Behaviour

Herding occurs when investors mimic the actions of the majority, often disregarding their own analysis. In volatile markets, this bias intensifies as uncertainty encourages individuals to seek safety in numbers. The collective movement of investors can accelerate trends, pushing prices either far above or far below their intrinsic values. For example, during financial crises, herding behaviour can fuel panic selling, while in bull runs, it can inflate speculative bubbles. This phenomenon illustrates how volatility can transform individual biases into market-wide movements with systemic implications.

Anchoring and Representativeness Bias

Anchoring bias refers to the reliance on initial reference points (anchors) when making decisions, while representativeness bias occurs when individuals evaluate outcomes based on stereotypes or similarities to past experiences. In volatile markets, investors may anchor to recent highs or lows, shaping unrealistic expectations of future performance. For instance, they may assume that a stock previously valued at a peak will inevitably return to that level, even when fundamentals do not support it. Similarly, representativeness can lead investors to overreact to short-term patterns, mistaking them for long-term trends. Both biases distort judgment, contributing to exaggerated reactions during market turbulence.

Regret Aversion Theory

Regret Aversion explains how the fear of making a wrong decision—and subsequently regretting it—affects investor choices. In volatile markets, this often leads to indecision or overly cautious strategies, as investors attempt to avoid potential regret from missed opportunities or realized losses. For instance, some investors may refrain from selling declining stocks to avoid the regret of confirming a loss, while others may hesitate to buy during downturns for fear of further declines. This behaviour reduces flexibility and may result in missed gains or prolonged exposure to risk.

Together, these behavioural theories provide a comprehensive lens through which to analyze investor actions in volatile markets. They reveal that decision-making is not purely rational but is deeply intertwined with cognitive biases and emotional responses. Understanding these frameworks allows researchers, policymakers, and practitioners to better interpret market dynamics and design strategies to mitigate the adverse effects of irrational behaviour during financial turbulence.

4. INVESTOR BEHAVIOUR IN VOLATILE MARKETS

Investor behaviour during periods of market volatility often departs from the rational frameworks suggested by traditional finance theories. Volatility brings with it heightened uncertainty,

frequent fluctuations in asset prices, and emotional stress, all of which magnify the role of psychology in financial decision-making. While stable markets allow investors to follow strategies grounded in fundamentals, volatile environments often prompt decisions driven by fear, anxiety, overconfidence, and herd instincts. These behavioural tendencies not only influence individual investment outcomes but also have broader implications for the stability of financial systems.

One of the most common behavioural patterns in volatile markets is panic selling, where investors rush to liquidate their holdings at the first sign of a downturn. The fear of further losses becomes stronger than rational evaluation of asset values, leading many investors to exit positions prematurely. This behaviour was prominently observed during the global financial crisis of 2008 and again during the COVID-19 market crash in March 2020, when global equity indices fell sharply as investors reacted to uncertainty. Conversely, some investors hold on to declining stocks in the hope of a rebound, a phenomenon explained by loss aversion and regret aversion. Both extremes—panic selling and holding onto losers—demonstrate the power of emotions in shaping responses to volatility.

Another significant behavioural trait is herding, where investors mimic the actions of the majority rather than relying on independent analysis. In volatile markets, uncertainty encourages individuals to seek safety in numbers, assuming that the collective wisdom of the crowd must be correct. However, herding often exacerbates volatility by amplifying market movements—accelerating sell-offs during downturns and fueling bubbles during speculative rallies. For instance, the dot-com bubble of the late 1990s was driven in part by herding behaviour, as investors poured money into internet stocks despite weak fundamentals, only to suffer massive losses when the bubble burst.

Volatility also heightens overconfidence, particularly among active traders who believe they can outsmart the market through frequent trading and market timing. In practice, overconfidence often results in excessive risk-taking, poor diversification, and increased transaction costs. While some may experience short-term gains, most overconfident investors underperform in the long run, especially during turbulent periods when outcomes are less predictable and heavily influenced by external shocks rather than individual skill. The illusion of control becomes dangerous in such times, as investors underestimate the role of chance in financial outcomes.

Psychological biases such as anchoring and representativeness further shape behaviour in volatile markets. Investors may anchor to recent market highs or lows, assuming that prices will revert to those levels, regardless of current fundamentals. Similarly, they may interpret short-term trends as indicators of long-term performance, leading to overreactions. For example, a sharp drop in stock prices may be seen as a permanent decline rather than a temporary correction, prompting rash selling. Conversely, a sudden surge in prices may be interpreted as the start of a sustained rally, encouraging risky buying. These misperceptions reinforce instability and create opportunities for

sharp reversals.

Finally, volatile markets magnify the impact of emotional decision-making, as the fear of loss, the hope of gain, and the desire to avoid regret dominate rational analysis. Many investors oscillate between extremes of caution and speculation, abandoning long-term strategies in favour of short-term reactions. This unpredictability often results in greater market swings, as individual biases aggregate into collective outcomes that diverge from fundamentals. Institutional investors, though often more disciplined, are not immune to these behaviours, and their large-scale actions can further destabilize markets.

In summary, investor behaviour in volatile markets is shaped less by rational models and more by psychological and emotional influences. Panic selling, herding, overconfidence, and cognitive biases all play significant roles in driving market movements during turbulent times. These behaviours underscore the importance of behavioural finance as a framework for understanding financial decision-making in real-world conditions, where uncertainty and emotion are as influential as data and analysis. Recognizing these patterns not only helps investors safeguard against poor decisions but also provides insights for advisors, policymakers, and regulators seeking to maintain market stability during crises.

5. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

The role of behavioural finance in explaining investor behaviour during volatile markets has been extensively studied across different countries and time periods. Empirical research consistently shows that traditional finance models alone cannot account for the anomalies, bubbles, and crashes witnessed during times of heightened uncertainty. Instead, psychological biases and emotional factors identified in behavioural finance provide more realistic explanations for how investors react under stress. The evidence highlights that investor behaviour in volatile markets is not random but patterned, often shaped by fear, greed, and herd instincts.

One of the most cited examples of behavioural responses in volatility comes from the global financial crisis of 2008. Studies found that panic selling, herding behaviour, and loss aversion were key drivers of the sharp declines in stock markets worldwide. Retail investors, in particular, liquidated their portfolios at significant losses, driven more by fear of further declines than by fundamental analysis. Empirical surveys conducted in the aftermath showed that many investors regretted their decisions, acknowledging that emotional impulses overpowered rational judgment. Institutional investors, while more disciplined, were also influenced by herding pressures, as they sought to align with prevailing market sentiment to reduce reputational risk.

Another significant case is the COVID-19 pandemic market crash in March 2020, which provides recent empirical support for behavioural finance theories. Research across developed and emerging markets revealed widespread panic selling, followed by a rapid recovery that defied traditional models. Herding behaviour was evident in both retail and institutional

trades, while overconfidence drove speculative investments in technology and pharmaceutical stocks. Interestingly, digital trading platforms saw an influx of new investors during this period, many of whom demonstrated biases such as overtrading and anchoring to recent price trends. This behavioural dynamic contributed to extreme volatility, including record-high levels of the VIX index, often called the “fear gauge” of markets.

Beyond global crises, evidence from emerging markets also reinforces the significance of behavioural biases. In countries like India, China, and Brazil, studies have shown that retail investors are especially prone to herd behaviour and representativeness bias during volatile periods. For instance, empirical research in Indian stock markets has demonstrated that investors tend to follow short-term price trends without sufficient regard for fundamentals, leading to cycles of overreaction and correction. Similarly, evidence from China’s stock market crashes in 2015 highlighted the role of herd-driven speculation and overconfidence, with millions of retail investors engaging in high-frequency trading during extreme volatility.

Academic experiments and surveys also provide micro-level evidence of behavioural influences. Laboratory experiments simulating volatile market conditions have consistently shown that participants exhibit loss aversion, holding onto losing assets longer than rational models would predict. Surveys conducted among investors reveal that regret aversion and fear of missing out (FOMO) strongly influence decision-making, especially during market rallies. These findings demonstrate that behavioural biases are not just observable in aggregate market movements but are deeply rooted in individual psychology.

Taken together, the empirical evidence strongly supports the argument that behavioural finance theories are essential to understanding investor decision-making in volatile markets. From large-scale global crises like 2008 and COVID-19 to localized market anomalies in emerging economies, patterns of panic selling, herding, overconfidence, and regret aversion recur with striking consistency. These findings highlight the need to integrate behavioural insights into financial models, risk management practices, and regulatory frameworks, ensuring a more realistic understanding of how markets operate under stress.

6. PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

The study of investor psychology and behavioural finance theories in volatile markets carries important practical implications for investors, financial advisors, and policymakers alike. Understanding that markets are not always driven by rational behaviour but are influenced by emotional and cognitive biases allows stakeholders to adopt strategies that mitigate risks and improve decision-making during turbulence.

For individual investors, recognizing behavioural biases is the first step toward better financial outcomes. Awareness of tendencies such as loss aversion, overconfidence, or herding can help investors resist impulsive reactions to market swings. For instance, instead of panic-selling during a downturn, an

investor who understands the psychological pull of loss aversion may choose to re-evaluate fundamentals and maintain a long-term perspective. Tools such as pre-committed investment plans, automatic rebalancing, and diversification can provide discipline against emotionally driven decisions. Education on behavioural finance can also empower investors to align their risk tolerance with realistic market expectations, reducing regret and improving financial resilience in the face of volatility.

For financial advisors and portfolio managers, behavioural insights provide an opportunity to better serve clients, especially during crises. Investors often turn to advisors not only for technical expertise but also for reassurance and emotional stability when markets fluctuate. Advisors who understand common psychological biases can anticipate client reactions, offer behavioural coaching, and guide them away from rash decisions. For example, framing investment performance in terms of long-term goals rather than short-term losses can reduce client anxiety and prevent premature withdrawals. In this way, behavioural finance becomes a powerful tool for enhancing trust, strengthening client relationships, and achieving more stable portfolio performance.

For financial institutions and regulators, incorporating behavioural insights into market design and policy can improve stability and investor protection. Regulators can introduce mechanisms that reduce the negative impact of herd-driven volatility, such as circuit breakers to pause trading during extreme fluctuations. Disclosure requirements can be tailored to present information in a way that minimizes anchoring and framing biases. Furthermore, investor protection campaigns that educate retail participants about behavioural traps can reduce vulnerability to panic selling or speculative bubbles. Institutions can also design investment products—such as target-date funds or systematic investment plans—that account for common psychological tendencies, promoting disciplined long-term behaviour.

At a broader level, understanding investor psychology also helps in managing systemic risks. Collective behavioural responses, when amplified, can threaten market stability and spill over into the wider economy. Policymakers who account for behavioural dynamics are better equipped to predict contagion effects, design interventions, and restore confidence during crises. For example, central banks often use communication strategies not only to convey policy but also to shape investor sentiment, demonstrating how psychology becomes an integral part of financial stability management.

In sum, the practical implications of behavioural finance in volatile markets extend beyond academic theory. By applying insights into investor psychology, individuals can make more rational decisions, advisors can provide better guidance, institutions can design investor-friendly products, and regulators can create safer market environments. Recognizing that financial markets are as much about human behaviour as they are about numbers ensures that strategies to navigate volatility are realistic, adaptive, and ultimately more effective.

7. CONCLUSION

Volatile markets provide a unique lens through which to examine investor behaviour, as they amplify the role of psychology in financial decision-making. Traditional finance theories, grounded in rationality and efficient markets, fall short in explaining the anomalies and extreme market movements observed during periods of uncertainty. Behavioural finance fills this gap by offering theoretical frameworks such as prospect theory, mental accounting, overconfidence, herding, anchoring, and regret aversion, which collectively explain why investors deviate from rational models. These theories highlight that emotions, biases, and heuristics strongly influence investment choices, especially when uncertainty and risk are at their peak.

Empirical evidence from global crises such as the 2008 financial meltdown and the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as from emerging markets like India and China, reinforces the validity of these behavioural explanations. Investors consistently display patterns of panic selling, excessive risk-taking, and herd-driven speculation during volatility. Such recurring behaviours demonstrate that investor psychology is not random but systematic, making it a critical component in understanding market dynamics.

The practical implications of these insights are far-reaching. For individuals, acknowledging behavioural biases can lead to more disciplined investment strategies and improved financial resilience. For advisors, behavioural awareness strengthens client relationships and enhances portfolio management during crises. For regulators and policymakers, integrating behavioural perspectives into policy frameworks helps in designing interventions that stabilize markets and protect investors. In this sense, behavioural finance not only enriches academic theory but also offers tangible tools for navigating real-world financial turbulence.

Ultimately, the study of behavioural finance underscores a vital truth: financial markets are shaped as much by human psychology as they are by numbers and data. Recognizing the influence of fear, greed, and cognitive biases provides a more holistic understanding of investor decision-making in volatile markets. As global uncertainties persist—whether driven by economic shocks, geopolitical events, or pandemics—behavioural finance will continue to play a crucial role in interpreting market behaviour, guiding policy, and supporting investors in making informed, rational, and resilient decisions.

REFERENCES

1. Almansour, B. Y., Elkrghli, S., & Almansour, A. Y. (2023). Behavioral finance factors and investment decisions: A mediating role of risk perception. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 11(1), 2239032.
2. Almansour, B. Y., Elkrghli, S., & Almansour, A. Y. (2023). Behavioral finance factors and investment decisions: A mediating role of risk perception. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 11(1), 2239032.
3. Dixit, D. K. (2024). Investor psychology and market volatility: Unpacking behavioral finance insights. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(2), 1707–1715.
4. Dixit, D. K. (2024). Investor psychology and market volatility: Unpacking behavioral finance insights. *Journal of Informatics*

- Education and Research, 4(2), 1707–1715.
5. Kumar, D., & Bajaj, G. L. (2024). Investor psychology and market volatility: Unpacking behavioral finance insights. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(2), 1707–1715.
 6. Kumar, D., & Bajaj, G. L. (2024). Investor psychology and market volatility: Unpacking behavioral finance insights. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(2), 1707–1715.
 7. Prasetya Willim, A. (2025). Behavioral finance in the digital era: Understanding investor psychology in a high-volatility market. *Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis*, 7(2), 323–327.
 8. Prasetya Willim, A. (2025). Behavioral finance in the digital era: Understanding investor psychology in a high-volatility market. *Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis*, 7(2), 323–327.
 9. Taylor, Z. (2024). Behavioral finance: Investor psychology and market outcomes. *Gulu University Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2(1), 1–15.
 10. Taylor, Z. (2024). Behavioral finance: Investor psychology and market outcomes. *Gulu*
 11. Willim, A. P. (2025). Behavioral finance in the digital era: Understanding investor psychology in a high-volatility market. *Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis*, 7(2), 323–327.
 12. Willim, A. P. (2025). Behavioral finance in the digital era: Understanding investor psychology in a high-volatility market. *Jurnal Informatika Ekonomi Bisnis*, 7(2), 323–327.