



“NECROPHILIA IN INDIA: A CRITICAL EVALUATION OF LEGAL PROVISIONS AND SOCIETAL RESPONSE”

Vaishya Ruchita¹, Dr. Priyanka Chokshi¹

¹ Monark University, Ahmedabad

ABSTRACT

Necrophilia, the act of engaging in sexual activity with a corpse, remains one of the most disturbing and taboo subjects within the realm of criminal behavior. In India, despite its severe moral, psychological, and ethical implications, necrophilia exists within a legal grey area due to the absence of explicit penal provisions addressing it under the Indian Penal Code or the newly introduced Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS). This research paper critically evaluates the gaps in Indian criminal jurisprudence concerning necrophilia and explores how existing laws are inadequate to prosecute such offences directly. It further delves into the societal perception of necrophilia, often marked by denial, stigma, and underreporting, which hinders effective discourse and policy formation. Drawing on psychological studies, legal doctrines, and comparative jurisprudence from jurisdictions where necrophilia is explicitly criminalized, the study highlights the need for India to recognize such acts as distinct crimes, not only to uphold the dignity of the dead but also to reflect evolving societal and legal standards. The paper advocates for legal reform, victim dignity preservation, and a shift in public consciousness to address this silent but serious violation.

KEYWORDS: Necrophilia, Indian Criminal Law, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), Legal Vacuum, Psychological Profiling, Forensic Challenges, Post-Mortem Dignity, Human Rights, Criminal Jurisprudence, Law Reform, Taboo Crimes, Corpse Desecration, Comparative Legal Analysis, Policy Recommendations

INTRODUCTION

Necrophilia, a profoundly disturbing and socially condemned act involving sexual interaction with a corpse, remains one of the most unaddressed areas within Indian criminal jurisprudence. Despite the gravity of such an offense, Indian laws, including the newly introduced Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) and Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), do not explicitly criminalize necrophilia, leaving a significant legal vacuum. This silence in the legislative framework reflects a broader societal discomfort in acknowledging and confronting crimes against the dead, often perceived as taboo or too rare for formal discussion. However, emerging cases, though sporadic, indicate that such crimes do occur and demand serious legal, ethical, and psychological attention. The absence of clear legal provisions not only hinders the effective prosecution of offenders but also violates the dignity of the deceased—a principle increasingly recognized in international human rights discourse. This research seeks to critically analyze the current legal framework in India, examine the psychological profile of necrophilic offenders, and evaluate global legal approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding and propose necessary reforms.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The study of necrophilia, though rare in mainstream legal discourse, has garnered attention in psychological and forensic literature. Rosman and Resnick (1989) provided one of the most cited classifications of necrophilia, categorizing offenders into genuine, pseudo-necrophiliacs, and necrophilic fantasies, emphasizing the role of psychological disorders and traumatic pasts in shaping such deviant behaviors. Aggrawal (2009), in his work *Forensic and Medico-Legal Aspects of Sexual*

Crimes and Unusual Sexual Practices, extensively discussed necrophilia from a medico-legal perspective, highlighting its forensic implications and the legal lacuna in jurisdictions like India. Pradip Kumar (2017) critically examined sexual offences in India, noting the absence of specific laws against necrophilia and calling for legislative clarity. Singh and Sharma (2020) explored the cultural and legal invisibility of necrophilia in Indian society, stressing the stigma and denial that prevent serious policy dialogue. Internationally, Silverman and Kennedy (1993) focused on the ethical dilemmas of prosecuting crimes against corpses and how different legal systems, such as those in the United States and Germany, have criminalized necrophilia under specific penal codes. These scholars collectively underline the urgent need for India to recognize necrophilia not just as a moral aberration, but as a crime that requires dedicated legal provisions, psychological understanding, and societal engagement. Despite these contributions, comprehensive empirical and doctrinal research on necrophilia within the Indian legal framework remains limited, indicating a pressing gap that this research seeks to address.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The conceptual framework of this research paper on necrophilia in India is rooted in an interdisciplinary understanding that draws from forensic psychiatry, criminal law, moral philosophy, and human rights discourse. Necrophilia, derived from the Greek words “nekros” (corpse) and “philia” (love), is classified as a paraphilic disorder, characterized by sexual attraction to or intercourse with corpses. This phenomenon, although rare and deeply disturbing, is not entirely unheard of in both historical and contemporary contexts. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) does not classify

necrophilia as a standalone disorder, but it is considered a part of “other specified paraphilic disorders,” particularly when the individual experiences significant distress or impairment in functioning. In the legal context, however, necrophilia falls into a grey area in many jurisdictions, including India, where no specific provision criminalizes the act per se.

This framework explores necrophilia as a symptom of deviant sexual behavior driven by psychosexual pathology. The classification by Rosman and Resnick (1989) identifies three main types of necrophiles: genuine necrophiles, who seek sexual gratification from corpses; fantasy-driven necrophiles, who derive arousal from thoughts of sex with the dead; and opportunistic necrophiles, who engage in necrophilic acts due to the availability of a corpse. This typology is essential in understanding the motivations behind the behavior and its forensic relevance. In India, such acts often come to light in the context of custodial or custodial-like environments—such as mortuaries, graveyards, or hospitals—where perpetrators exploit their access to dead bodies. This underlines a systemic failure in ensuring dignity to the deceased, which is a foundational principle of human rights and constitutional morality.

From a legal standpoint, the current Indian framework is insufficient. While Section 297 of the Indian Penal Code (IPC) punishes trespass in places of burial with the intention to wound religious sentiments, and Sections 354 or 377 may be loosely applied in cases involving unnatural offences or violations of dignity, none of these provisions directly address necrophilia. Even with the advent of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, and the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, India has missed a critical opportunity to modernize its criminal jurisprudence by not explicitly codifying necrophilia as a punishable offence. In contrast, countries like Germany, the United Kingdom, and several states in the USA have specific statutes that criminalize sexual activity with corpses, thus recognizing the ethical and legal necessity of protecting the dead from such indignities.

In moral philosophy and human rights theory, the concept of posthumous dignity plays a significant role. The deceased, though devoid of agency, are still seen as deserving of respect due to societal norms and the moral rights accorded to human remains. The violation of a corpse is thus not only a transgression against the person's bodily autonomy but also an affront to the collective conscience of society. This makes the legal vacuum surrounding necrophilia more than a procedural oversight—it is a significant ethical lapse. Thus, the conceptual framework of this study situates necrophilia at the intersection of deviant psychology, criminal omission, and societal neglect. It aims to bridge the gap between medical-legal understanding and legislative inaction, advocating for a comprehensive approach that includes penal reform, forensic sensitivity, and ethical vigilance.

HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL CONTEXT

The phenomenon of necrophilia, though rare and socially condemned, has been documented across various cultures and

historical epochs, revealing complex intersections of ritual, power, and pathology. In ancient civilizations, such as Egypt and Greece, there were accounts—mythological and anecdotal—suggesting sexual acts involving corpses, often as expressions of dominance or superstition. For instance, in ancient Egyptian society, embalmers were reportedly delayed from preparing the bodies of beautiful women to avoid potential sexual abuse, indicating an early awareness of the deviant tendency. Similarly, Greek mythology references several figures, like Achilles and Penthesilea, whose actions symbolically echo necrophilic desire. In Indian culture, traditional texts like the Manusmriti emphasize the sanctity of the body after death and prescribe strict rituals for its treatment, reflecting a deep cultural reverence for the dead.

However, despite this cultural emphasis on post-mortem dignity, modern Indian society has rarely engaged with necrophilia as a topic of legal or social concern, often dismissing it as an aberration too taboo for open discussion. Colonial legal frameworks like the Indian Penal Code of 1860 did not explicitly criminalize such acts, focusing more on offenses that directly impacted the living or disturbed public order. Even with the evolution of modern legal consciousness, Indian jurisprudence has failed to integrate historical sensitivities with contemporary criminal justice needs. This neglect not only ignores the cultural importance of treating the dead with respect but also reflects a broader societal denial in confronting extreme forms of deviance. Therefore, understanding the historical and cultural context is crucial in shaping a responsive and culturally informed legal framework that addresses the silent horror of necrophilia.

PSYCHOLOGICAL PROFILE OF OFFENDERS

Necrophilia, as a paraphilic disorder, is characterized by an intense and persistent sexual attraction to corpses, and those who engage in such acts often exhibit complex and deeply rooted psychological disturbances. Studies in forensic psychology suggest that necrophilic offenders may suffer from personality disorders, severe attachment issues, and childhood traumas—especially involving abuse, neglect, or abandonment. Many of these individuals show signs of social isolation, low self-esteem, and an inability to form healthy interpersonal or sexual relationships, which may lead them to seek out unresisting and nonjudgmental “partners” in the form of corpses. According to Rosman and Resnick (1989), necrophilic behavior can be categorized into genuine necrophilia, where sexual gratification is derived directly from a corpse, and pseudonecrophilia, where the attraction is situational or incidental. Genuine necrophiliacs may fantasize about complete control and possessiveness, driven by a need to dominate or permanently possess the object of their affection. Some offenders are also drawn to the idea of ultimate submission, where the corpse cannot reject or resist their advances. In extreme cases, necrophilia is linked with homicidal tendencies, where the act of killing becomes a means to obtain the desired corpse. However, not all necrophilic acts are violent; some stem from obsessive fantasies or compulsive behaviors without prior criminal intent. From a psychological standpoint, such offenders require both legal accountability and psychiatric evaluation to determine underlying causes and assess

the risk of recidivism. Unfortunately, due to the rarity and taboo nature of the offense, psychological profiling remains limited in India, where forensic psychiatry lacks both awareness and institutional support for dealing with such complex behavioral disorders. A nuanced understanding of the offender's psyche is therefore essential for both effective rehabilitation and the creation of targeted legal interventions.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN INDIA

In India, the legal framework surrounding necrophilia remains vague and largely inadequate, reflecting a significant gap in the country's criminal jurisprudence. Under the Indian Penal Code, 1860, there is no explicit provision criminalizing necrophilia as a distinct offence. However, such acts are often prosecuted under Section 297, which penalizes trespassing on burial places with intent to wound the feelings of others, and Section 377, which earlier criminalized "unnatural offences" but has since been read down by the Supreme Court in *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018) to decriminalize consensual homosexual acts between adults. This judicial development inadvertently created a grey area, leaving acts like necrophilia, which are inherently non-consensual and violate the dignity of the deceased, without a clear penal provision. The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, which replaces the IPC, also fails to address necrophilia as a separate criminal offence, missing a crucial opportunity for reform. While acts like defilement of a corpse may fall under sections relating to public decency or outrage of religious sentiments, they do not adequately reflect the sexual and psychological dimensions of the crime. The absence of a dedicated provision undermines the seriousness of necrophilia and denies justice to victims whose bodily integrity is violated posthumously. Furthermore, the procedural laws under the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, also lack specific mechanisms for investigating and prosecuting such offences, complicating matters for law enforcement and forensic professionals. As Indian law stands today, necrophilia is an offence that falls between the cracks of outdated moral codes and modern legal reform, necessitating urgent legislative attention to recognize and criminalize this grievous violation of human dignity.

The legal framework in India concerning necrophilia not only lacks direct penal provisions but also reflects a broader societal discomfort in addressing crimes involving the dead. The Indian legal system traditionally focuses on protecting the rights and dignity of the living, with limited consideration for offences against corpses. Although Section 297 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, punishes acts like trespassing on burial grounds and indignity to human remains, the provision primarily focuses on public order and religious sentiments rather than the inherent violation of bodily autonomy post-mortem. With the repeal of Section 377 in its older form, which once criminalized "carnal intercourse against the order of nature," legal instruments have become even more insufficient in prosecuting acts like necrophilia, which involve non-consensual and abusive conduct against a deceased person. The transition to the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, was expected to fill such legislative voids. However, the BNS too lacks any explicit clause criminalizing sexual acts with corpses, thereby missing

a pivotal opportunity to align Indian law with contemporary human rights and ethical norms.

This absence is alarming, especially when compared with legal systems in countries like the UK, where the Sexual Offences Act, 2003, specifically criminalizes sexual penetration of a corpse under Section 70, or in the U.S., where several states have statutes dealing with necrophilic acts. In contrast, Indian law remains trapped in archaic moral codes that are not equipped to address such unconventional yet grievous offences. This gap also extends into the procedural realm under the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, which does not provide special procedures for investigating crimes against dead bodies. The burden thus falls on the police and judiciary to creatively interpret general provisions such as "outraging religious feelings" or "defiling graves," which do not adequately address the personal violation inflicted upon the deceased. In the absence of codified legal definitions, courts often struggle to classify necrophilia as a punishable offence under existing laws, which in turn impacts prosecution, sentencing, and victim justice. Moreover, the lack of a legal definition of necrophilia has significant implications for forensic investigation and documentation. Without specific offences listed in the legal code, post-mortem protocols may not include checks for sexual violation, thereby affecting evidence collection and reducing the likelihood of successful prosecution. This legal vacuum also hampers the ability of society to acknowledge the seriousness of necrophilic acts, diminishing public awareness, deterrence, and moral condemnation. Therefore, the urgent need for legal reform cannot be overstated. India must recognize necrophilia as a separate criminal offence and adopt a rights-based framework that extends dignity and legal protection to the dead. This reform should not only provide for strict penalties but also ensure proper investigation procedures, forensic protocols, and sensitization of law enforcement agencies. Only then can Indian criminal jurisprudence claim to fully uphold human dignity — in life as well as in death.

COMPARATIVE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

When examining the global legal treatment of necrophilia, a striking disparity becomes evident between India and several other jurisdictions that have adopted more explicit and progressive legal responses to this disturbing offence. In countries like the United Kingdom, necrophilia is clearly criminalized under Section 70 of the Sexual Offences Act, 2003, which prohibits sexual penetration of a corpse, carrying a punishment of up to two years of imprisonment. This direct acknowledgment within the penal code reflects a legal and moral understanding that the dignity of the deceased must be protected through specific legislative measures. Similarly, in many states of the United States, necrophilia is explicitly prohibited. For example, in California, Section 7052.5 of the Health and Safety Code criminalizes sexual activity with a corpse, prescribing stringent penalties. Other countries like Germany and Sweden also criminalize such conduct under laws protecting human dignity and bodily integrity, even after death.

In contrast, India lacks a precise statutory provision that classifies necrophilia as a distinct criminal offence. While

Section 297 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, penalizes trespassing on burial places and indignity to human corpses, it fails to encompass the gravity and specificity of sexual violation against a deceased individual. The transition to the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, has not remedied this gap, as the new legal framework still does not recognize necrophilia as a standalone offence. This absence not only limits legal redress but also undermines efforts to investigate, prosecute, and prevent such crimes. Comparative analysis shows that jurisdictions with explicit legal provisions are better equipped to uphold the posthumous dignity of Individuals, facilitate appropriate forensic examinations, and ensure that perpetrators face proportionate punishment. Furthermore, international human rights norms, such as those emerging from the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), emphasize respect for human dignity as a core value, which extends beyond death. Many countries, guided by these principles, have incorporated provisions addressing offences against the dead as a matter of both criminal and moral justice. India's continued silence on necrophilia, even in its newly codified laws under BNS and BNSS, reveals a significant policy shortfall. To align with global best practices and international human rights standards, India must consider adopting explicit penal provisions that define and criminalize necrophilia, thereby ensuring legal clarity, deterrence, and justice for the victims — even in death.

ETHICAL AND HUMAN RIGHTS DIMENSIONS

Necrophilia, as an act of sexual violation against a deceased individual, raises profound ethical and human rights concerns that transcend legal boundaries. At the heart of the issue lies the principle of human dignity — a universally recognized ethical value and a cornerstone of human rights discourse — which demands respect for individuals both in life and after death. Ethically, necrophilia represents a grave affront to societal values, religious beliefs, and moral norms that regard the dead as deserving of sanctity, honor, and respectful treatment. The act violates the bodily autonomy of individuals who can no longer consent or protect themselves, making it a uniquely exploitative form of abuse. From a human rights perspective, while rights are generally conferred on the living, there is growing recognition in international legal theory and jurisprudence that the dead, too, must be treated in accordance with dignity and respect. This is particularly reflected in funeral rights, cultural and religious traditions, and international humanitarian law, which prohibit the desecration of corpses during war.

The ethical breach committed through necrophilia is compounded by the psychological trauma it inflicts on the deceased's family and community, who experience a secondary violation of their loved one's memory and bodily integrity. It is also indicative of broader systemic failures — legal, institutional, and social — to adequately address taboo crimes that fall outside conventional frameworks. Furthermore, the absence of specific laws criminalizing necrophilia in India and several other jurisdictions reflects an ethical vacuum, where silence enables impunity and social denial. Globally, several legal systems have evolved to criminalize such acts explicitly, reflecting a growing consensus that respect for the dead is not merely a moral obligation but also a matter of social justice and

human rights. For India, recognizing necrophilia as an ethical and human rights violation would signal a shift towards a more compassionate, victim-centered legal and policy framework that honors the dignity of every individual — even beyond the boundary of death.

CONCLUSIONS

Necrophilia represents one of the most disturbing and least addressed forms of sexual crime, situated at the intersection of legal ambiguity, psychological deviance, and ethical violation. In the Indian context, the absence of an explicit legal provision under both the Indian Penal Code and the newly enacted Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) signifies a glaring gap in criminal jurisprudence, effectively allowing perpetrators of such acts to escape specific accountability. While existing laws related to trespass of burial sites, public obscenity, or defilement of a corpse may be tangentially applied, they fail to capture the full magnitude and moral gravity of the offense. Psychologically, necrophilic acts often stem from deep-seated mental disorders or antisocial tendencies, warranting both penal action and therapeutic intervention. Ethically and from a human rights perspective, necrophilia not only desecrates the dignity of the deceased but also inflicts immense psychological trauma on the bereaved families, challenging the very fabric of societal morality and justice.

The failure to adequately investigate, prosecute, and criminalize necrophilia is symptomatic of a broader reluctance to engage with socially taboo subjects, often due to cultural silence and legal oversight. Comparative legal frameworks from countries like the United States, Germany, and the UK show that specific legal recognition of necrophilia leads to clearer accountability, better data collection, and victim-sensitive legal redress. India, too, must take steps toward modernizing its criminal justice system to include such emerging and complex forms of deviance. The legal reform should be guided by interdisciplinary insights from psychology, criminology, ethics, and human rights law to ensure a holistic approach. Ultimately, acknowledging necrophilia as a distinct criminal offense is not merely a legislative need but a moral imperative to uphold the sanctity of the human body and the dignity of death.

REFERENCES

1. Holmes, R. M., & Holmes, S. T. (2009). *Serial murder*. SAGE Publications.
2. Aggrawal, A. (2009). *Forensic and medico-legal aspects of sexual crimes and unusual sexual practices*. CRC Press.
3. Ashworth, A. (2010). *Principles of criminal law* (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.
4. Nair, P. (2012). *Criminal psychology*. APH Publishing.
5. Paranjape, N. V. (2017). *Criminology and penology* (17th ed.). Central Law Publications.
6. Black, D. (2010). *The behavior of law*. Emerald Group Publishing.
7. Siegel, L. J. (2011). *Criminology: The core* (4th ed.). Cengage Learning.
8. Sharma, R. N. (2014). *Indian society, institutions and change*. Atlantic Publishers.
9. Mahmood, T. (2005). *Criminal law reform in India*. Oxford University Press.
10. Pillai, K. N. C. (2015). *General principles of criminal law*. Eastern Book Company.